SINGLE BENCH

(4)

In the Honble High Court of Chhattishgarh at Bilaspur (C.G.)

W.P. (S) No. 61 2006

Petitioner ..... Mod. Akhtar,
Aged 49 years,
S/o Late Shri Mod. Ismail,
Assistant Teacher,
Govt. Multipurpose School, Rajnandgaon,
District, Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

P.R. No. 783-16
Presented by Shri S P Sahu
dated 26/12/06

VERSUS

Respondent ... ... 1. The State Government of Chhattishgarh,
Through the Secretary,
Education & Tribal Department
D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. The District Education Officer,
Rajnandgaon (C.G)

3. Assistant Commissioner,
Tribal Welfare Department,
Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
26-12-06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

Writ petition under articles 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of MANDAMUS, Certiorari, Prohibition and other suitable WRIT or writs, Order or orders, Direction or directions.

(20)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.4 OF 2007

Petitioner : Hemant Kumar Sharma

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.5 OF 2007

Petitioner : Smt. Chanda Rani Thakur

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.7 OF 2007

Petitioner : Magan Lal Yadav

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.8 OF 2007

Petitioner : Chaitram Sidar

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

(21)

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.13 OF 2007

Petitioner : Ravi Shankar Patel

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.19 OF 2007

Petitioner : Dhanesh Kumar Sharma

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.26 OF 2007

Petitioner : Prafulla Kumar Sahu

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.27 OF 2007

Petitioner : Akhilesh Kumar Shrivastava

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

22

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.28 OF 2007

Petitioner : Natthu Lal Sao

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.29 OF 2007

Petitioner : Smt. Abista Kujur

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.30 OF 2007

Petitioner : Gopal Ram Rawat

versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.31 OF 2007

Petitioner : Prahlad Kumar Banjare

versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

(23)

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.32 OF 2007

Petitioner : Gopal Ram Patel

versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.33 OF 2007

Petitioner : Lakhan Lal Sarthi

versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.61 OF 2007

Petitioner : Mod. Akhtar

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.66 OF 2007

Petitioner : Smt. Parwati Bai Dhanker

versus

Respondents : The State Government of Chhattisgarh and another

(24)

WRIT PETITION (S.) NO.70 OF 2007

Petitioner : Jagdish Prasad Daharia

versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another

Present : Mr. Rakesh Anthony, Mr. Sanjay Patel and Mr. Ajay Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Satish Gupta, Deputy Government Advocate and Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on 15th Day of January, 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the parties jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present batch of writ petitions are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the case of ***Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj*** **vs.** ***Municipal Corporation, Durg and another***[1] .

2. In subsequent cases, i.e., Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (***Gopesh Kumar Verma*** **vs.** ***The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another***) and other connected matters, decided by this Court on 15-12-2006, pursuant to the memo dated 28-1-1995, issued by the Secretary, Board of Secondary Education, Bhopal and the prospectus of Diploma in Education Examination, it was clarified that the nomenclature of the Basic Training Institute, i.e., B.T.I. has been

changed and renamed as Diploma in Education, i.e., D.Ed. Accordingly, the D.Ed. Certificate holders are also covered by the decision in Writ Petition (S.) No.4130 of 2005 (*Gopesh Kumar Verma* vs. *The State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and another*) (Supra).

3. For the aforementioned reasons, the writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj* (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioners have acquired B.Ed./B.T.I./D.Ed. Certificates at their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24-12-1998 and 1-3-1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

4. A copy of this order be placed on the record of the connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

---

1 2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96